

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

|                              |               |                      | •                               | ,                |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/494,242                   | 01/31/2000    | Reid W. Von Borstel  | 1331-301                        | 3187             |
| 759                          | 90 02/11/2003 |                      |                                 |                  |
| Nixon and Vanderhye PC       |               |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |
| 8th Floor<br>1100 North Glet |               | •                    | OWENS JR, HOWARD V              |                  |
| Arlington, VA 22201          |               | •                    | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                              |               |                      | 1623<br>DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003 | 9                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
| Office Aution Commons   | 09/494,242   | VON BORSTEL ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
| <u> </u>  | Howard V Owens   | 1623   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | rrespondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status   | 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to<br>cause the application to become ABANDONED   | ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. ) (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| and the <u>land</u> of the second o | louambar 2002  |  |
|   |  |  |
| <i>'</i> —  | s action is non-final.   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the practi      |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 48-63 is/are pending in the application   | •  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | n from consideration.  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) iš/are rejected.  |  | 1  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>48-63</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | and the second of the second o |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  |  | ved by the Examiner.   |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep   |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer.  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | -(d) or (f).   |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received.  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received in Application  | on No  |
| <ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>   | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov<br>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | visional application has been rece   | eived.   |
| Attachment(s)   | - p - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1  |  |
| 1) Notice of Réferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>atent Application (PTO-152)   |

Application/Control Number: 09/494,242

Art Unit: 1623

## **Election/Restrictions**

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 48 55, drawn to a method of enhancing delivery of deoxyribonucleosides, classified in class 514, subclass 45.
- II. Claims 56 63, drawn to a method for treating or preventing radiation-induced cellular damage, classified in class 514, subclass 42.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are drawn to distinct methods of using the same composition(s). The method of enhancing delivery of deoxyribonucleosides as set forth in Group I would require a search divergent and broader in scope than the invention of Group II since the delivery may encompass a variety of vehicles and formulations and has no correlation to the treatment or prevention of radiation induced damage.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

. \_

Application/Control Number: 09/494,242

Art Unit: 1623

Howard V. Owens Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623

> James O. Wilson Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Owens whose telephone number is (703) 306-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Supervisory Patent Examiner signing this action, James O. Wilson can be reached on (703) 308-4624. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.